## INFORMATION SHEET

## DETERMINATIONS OF NO JURISDICTION FOR ISOLATED, NON-NAVIGABLE, INTRA-STATE WATERS RESULTING FROM U.S. SUPREME COURT DECISION IN SOLID WASTE AGENCY OF NORTHERN COOK COUNTY V. U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS

DISTRICT OFFICE: FILE NUMBER:				Omaha District, South Dakota Regulatory Office 200430284						
REGULATORY PROJECT MANAGER:				Carolyn Kutz			Date: <u>10/5/2004</u>			
PROJECT REVIEW/DETERMINATION COMPLETED: In the office $\underline{Y}$ (Y/N) Date: $\underline{10/5/2004}$ At the project site $\underline{N}$ (Y/N) Date: $\underline{\underline{10/5/2004}}$										
PROJECT LOCATION INFO State: County: Center coordinates of (multiple waters) Approximate size of s Name of waterway on	Ü	dinal coord	dinates:	Sout! Minr Lat 4	South Dakota Minneahah and Lincoln Lat 43-28-43.4317 Long 96-51-57.8360  20.6 acres Lower Big Sioux					
SITE CONDITIONS:										
Type of aquatic resource <sup>1</sup>	0-1 ac	1-3 ac	3-5 ac	5-10 ac	10-25	5 ac 25-50 a	c > 50 ac	Linear feet	Unknown	
Lake										
River										
Stream										
Dry Wash										
Mudflat										
Sandflat										
Wetlands	X/2	X/1								
Slough										
Prairie pothole										
Wet meadow										
Playa lake										
Vernal pool										
Natural pond										
Other water (identify type)										
<sup>1</sup> Check appropriate boxes that bes		type of iso	lated, no	n-navigable	, intra-stat	e water presen	t and best estima	ite for size o	f non-	
Jurisurenonar aquatic resource are	444									
Migratory Bird Rule Factors 1:				If Known			If Unknown Use Best Professional Judgment			
				Yes	No	Predicted Not Expected to No		to Not	Able To Make etermination	
Is or would be used as habitat for birds protected by						X/3				
Migratory Bird Treaties?  Is an would be used as habitat by other migratory hirds that						V/2				

¹Check appropriate boxes that best describe potential for applicability of the Migratory Bird Rule to apply to onsite, non-jurisdictional, isolated, non-navigable, intra-state aquatic resource area.

TYPE OF DETERMINATION:

Preliminary ☑ Or Approved □.

cross state lines?

Is or would be used as habitat for endangered species? Is used to irrigate crops sold in interstate commerce?

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION SUPPORTING NJD (e.g., paragraph 1 – site conditions; paragraphs 2-3 – rationale used to determine NJD, including information reviewed to assess potential navigation or interstate commerce connections; and paragraph 4 – site information on waters of the U.S. occurring onsite): 1. The proposed project is to install a rural water pipeline that will cross 3 nonjurisdictional wetlands and 14 jurisdictional WUS. The area of fill be returned to the original grade (i.e. trench/backfill). The area is a rural prairie setting and is experiencing normal rainfall. 2. NWI maps and the wetland delineation report from the applicant were used to make the jurisdictional determinations. The areas have no potential for ICC navigation. The wetlands have no potential and have not had potential for interstate commerce.

X